

Hello

On November 23, 2007, I posted a notice (appended below) regarding what is effectively the internationalisation of the Title IV-D provisions and suggested that people write to the UN permanent representatives (addresses maybe found through the reference [2] of the appendix herein) of the Hague Convention member states (reference [3] of appendix herein) to get them to put pressure on the US to "correct" or abolish the Title IV-D.

I have produced a template letter that I and some others have sent or are sending to those UN permanent representatives. The said template letter is attached herein as a Word document (required modifications are minimal, e.g. name, address, signature, etc, information) .

I suggest that as many people as possible send such a letter to the Hague member state permanent representatives.

The idea of the letter being that they (other Hague member states) either do not ratify the new amendment for child support enforcement or the US "corrects", or preferably abolishes its title IV-D Codes.

Feel free to distribute and post them on any other web site and encourage others to write to the member states as well.

The letter should be sent to the UN permanent representatives of as many Hague Convention member states as possible.

Amir

Dr. Amir H. Sanjari

URGENT

ACTION NEEDS TO BE TAKEN URGENTLY TO CONVINCING THE HAGUE MEMBER STATES NOT TO SUBMIT TO THE FOLLY WHICH WILL FURTHER DESTROY MORE FAMILIES (theirs and US').

(Just because you may not be married to a foreigner, do NOT think that this would not affect you. This is a start of a slippery slope!!!!!!!!!!)

Hello,

Please Distribute Far and Wide

The "Child Support" ("CS") extortion by states which leads to kidnapping of children by the State and the judiciary is getting boosted and its tentacles spread even further and wider and represents a dangerous slippery slope to deprivation of more rights.

Right now, in The Hague, Holland, there is an international conference being held in which the United States is seeking to extend its state sponsored kidnapping of children for profit to other countries under the guise of making it easier to facilitate collection of "Child Support" from a parent in another country.

The amendments would affect all sorts of personal, family, parental, rights.

And let's not deceive ourselves through political correctness, this internationalization of slavery, for its application by the US has rendered as such, is primarily aimed at fathers and harnessing, for the State benefits and its coffers, any resources fathers (and a relatively few mothers) may tap into for the benefit of their families.

The blue print offered for such collection maybe based upon the discredited and corrupt US system of "child support" collection and would criminalize on international scale a lot of good parents, including more Americans.

ACTION: Write letters describing the corrupt and criminal violations by the US and its 50 state governments regarding application of US' own laws and Constitution in dealing with parental rights and the fraud perpetrated through Title IV-D (42 U.S.C. 655 et seq.). Since these violations by the US of its own laws and Constitution are also in violation of the United Nations Treaties [1] the US has signed, it is a prima facie proof that the US can not be trusted to abide by the letter nor spirit of any agreement on this matter.

Therefore, we must ask the recipients NOT TO ENTER INTO ANY AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED STATES ON THE ISSUE OF CHILD SUPPORT, ITS ENFORCEMENT AND RELATED PARENTAL RIGHTS ISSUES, UNLESS AND UNTIL THE US, UPON REVIEW, REVOKES ITS UNLAWFUL APPLICATION OF THE SAID POLICIES AND LAWS AND ENTERS INTO COMPLIANCE WITH THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTIONS IT HAS SIGNED.

Where to send the letter:

1- preferably, the Permanent Ambassadors [2] of ALL (or as many as possible) member states of the United Nations, New York. Or,

2- definitely, to ALL MEMBER STATES THAT ARE SIGNATORIES TO THE HAGUE CONVENTION [3]. Alternatively, the letter maybe sent to each relevant Foreign Office/Ministry in any given Hague Convention member state.

And

3- The UN High Commission For Human Rights, Geneva, Switzerland [4].

What is the point, we must drive it home to them, of entering into ANY agreement with the United States if the latter has already been and is violating the other aspects of the support and child and parental rights, this is in addition to harm inherent in the new agreement itself !?!?!?

It is crucial that WE URGENTLY make other nations understand that the US can not be relied upon in this matter and WILL abuse any powers and channels given it through any such international agreement resulting in destruction of more foreign and US families and violations of their rights in order to satisfy the insatiable greed of the US states, their judiciaries and officials.

Furthermore, an agreement would internationalize the criminal way the US applies such laws and not only will criminalize other nationals as well as US citizens and residents, but will also result in higher divorce rates and destruction of families. And just as importantly, it will solidify the current criminal conduct and fraudulent manner the "Child Support" laws are applied within the US that will make impossible any turning back to a civilized way of maintaining and supporting one's family.

NOW it is time to show that the US is NOT a reliable partner when it comes to adhering to the international treaties it has signed and is just as likely to abuse and violate any such agreement as it has been doing other UN Charters, especially those related to the family and children.

ACTION NEEDS TO BE TAKEN URGENTLY TO CONVINCING THE HAGUE MEMBER STATES NOT TO SUBMIT TO THIS FOLLY WHICH WILL DESTROY EVEN MORE FAMILIES.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr. Amir H. Sanjari

[1] United Nations Treaties the United States has been violating and continues to persistently violate regarding the family and its support are:

UNITED NATION INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS, THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION, AND CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS
THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF CHILDREN

“That it is the view of the United States that States Party to the Covenant should wherever possible refrain from imposing any restrictions or limitations on the exercise of the rights recognized and protected by the Covenant, even when such restrictions and limitations are permissible under the terms of the Covenant. For the United States, article 5, paragraph 2, which provides that fundamental human rights existing in any State Party may not be diminished “. “The United States declares that it will continue to adhere to the requirements and constraints of its Constitution in respect to all such restrictions and limitations. (3) That the United States declares that the right referred to in article 47 may be exercised only in accordance with international law.”
(signed 10.05.1977, Date receipt of Inst. 06.08.1992).

Also
<http://www.unhchr.ch/udhr/lang/eng.htm>

[2] Addresses for The UN Member States' Permanent Missions
<http://www.un.org/members/missions.shtml>

[3] The Hague Member States:

Party Countries and Effective Dates with U.S.

ARGENTINA 1 June 1991
AUSTRALIA 1 July 1988
AUSTRIA 1 October 1988
BAHAMAS 1 January 1994
BELGIUM 1 May 1999
BELIZE 1 November 1989
BOSNIA & HERZEGOVINA 1 December 1991
BRAZIL 1 December 2003

BULGARIA 1 January 2005
BURKINO FASO 1 November 1992
CANADA 1 July 1988
CHILE 1 July 1994
CHINA Hong Kong Special Admin. Region
1 September 1997
Macau
1 March 1999

COLOMBIA 1 June 1996
CROATIA 1 December 1991
CZECH REPUBLIC 1 March 1998
CYPRUS 1 March 1995
DENMARK 1 July 1991
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC 1 June 2007
ECUADOR 1 April 1992
EL SALVADOR 1 June 2007
ESTONIA 1 May 2007
FINLAND 1 August 1994
FRANCE 1 July 1988
GERMANY 1 December 1990
GREECE 1 June 1993
HONDURAS 1 June 1994
HUNGARY 1 July 1988
ICELAND 1 December 1996
IRELAND 1 October 1991
ISRAEL 1 December 1991
ITALY 1 May 1995
LATVIA 1 May 2007
LITHUANIA 1 May 2007
LUXEMBOURG 1 July 1988
FMR. YUGOSLAV REP. OF MACEDONIA 1 December 1991
MALTA 1 February 2003
MAURITIUS 1 October 1993
MEXICO 1 October 1991
MONACO 1 June 1993
NETHERLANDS 1 September 1990
NEW ZEALAND 1 October 1991
NORWAY 1 April 1989
PANAMA 1 June 1994
PERU 1 June 2007
POLAND 1 November 1992
PORTUGAL 1 July 1988
ROMANIA 1 June 1993
SERBIA 1 December 1991
SLOVAK REPUBLIC 1 February 2001
SLOVENIA 1 April 1995
SOUTH AFRICA 1 November 1997
SPAIN 1 July 1988
ST. KITTS AND NEVIS 1 June 1995
SWEDEN 1 June 1989
SWITZERLAND 1 July 1988
TURKEY 1 August 2000
UNITED KINGDOM 1 July 1988

Bermuda 1 March 1999
Cayman Islands 1 August 1998
Falkland Islands 1 June 1998
Isle of Man 1 September 1991
Montserrat 1 March 1999

URUGUAY 1 September 2004
VENEZUELA 1 January 1997
ZIMBABWE 1 August 1995

[4] UN High Commission For Human Rights
<http://www.ohchr.org/english/about/>