

[Litigant Inquiry](#)

[Docket Inquiry](#)

[Back to Menu](#)

**Case Number: 20 A 03 - 0511 - CV - 00527
SANJARI, AMIR H. -V- GRATZOL, ALISON**

Litigant Inquiry

SANJARI, AMIR H. 1 of 5

Attorney Number	Name and Address	Phone Number	Fax Number
No Records Found			

GRATZOL, ALISON 2 of 5

Attorney Number	Name and Address	Phone Number	Fax Number
No Records Found			

DEB FRIED, SUPERVISING CLERK 3 of 5

Attorney Number	Name and Address	Phone Number	Fax Number
No Records Found			

OTHER 4 of 5

Attorney Number	Name and Address	Phone Number	Fax Number
5945 43	REED, REX L. 121 N. LAKE STREET, CIRCUIT COURT WARSAW, IN 46580-0000	574-372-2407	

HON. REX L. REED, SPECIAL JUDGE 5 of 5

Attorney Number	Name and Address	Phone Number	Fax Number
No Records Found			

Docket Inquiry

DATE	TEXT
11/01/05	*****RECEIVED: SERVICE COPY OF THE NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE APPELLANT (1).
11/02/05	"NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED"
11/02/05	TSD
11/03/05	APPELLANT'S CASE SUMMARY BY AMIR SANJARI, PRO SE APPELLANT (2) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (2) MAIL RC
11/03/05	APPELLANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO ORDER STAY OF ALL PROCEEDINGS (6) PROOF OF SERVICE (2) MAIL RC
11/04/05	APPELLANT'S MOTIION TO ORDER STAY OF ALL PROCEEDINGS (INCLUDING VACATING THE HEARING SET FOR NOVEMBER 8-9, 2005) IN THE TRIAL CASE DENIED. (ORDER SIGNED 3:45 P.M.) JOHN T. SHARPBACK, ACTING CHIEF JUDGE MS
11/07/05	***** ABOVE ENTRY MAILED *****
11/04/05	****RECEIVED: NOTICE TO THE COURT (2) *SENT TO COURT JS
11/17/05	CLERK'S VERIFIED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ASSEMBLE THE CLERK'S RECORD (2) PROOF OF SERVICE (2) MAIL KS
11/22/05	VERIFIED MOTION OPPOSING CLERK OF TRIAL COURT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ASSEMBLE CLERK'S RECORDS (6) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (2) MAIL KS
11/30/05	CLERK'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO COMPLETE CLERK'S RECORD GRANTED TO AND INCLUDING JANUARY 3, 2006.

	JAMES S. KIRSCH, CHIEF JUDGE MS
11/30/05	***** ABOVE ENTRY MAILED *****
11/30/05	APPELLANT'S MOTION OPPOSING CLERK OF TRIAL COURT'S MOTION FOR
	EXTENSION OF TIME DENIED AS MOOT.
	JAMES S. KIRSCH, CHIEF JUDGE MS
11/30/05	***** ABOVE ENTRY MAILED *****
12/13/05	****RECEIVED: MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS FROM PRO SE APPELLANT
	SENT TO KENT ZEPICK FOR REVIEW. JS
12/12/05	NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF CLERK'S RECORD (1)
	TRANSCRIPT COMPLETED AND FILED WITH THE CLERK KS
1/04/06	****RECEIVED: APPELLANT'S MOTION TO CORRECT, MODIFY CLERK'S
	RECORDS AND TRANSCRIPTS. WAITING FOR RULING FROM TRIAL COURT.
	ON MY DESK. **** LT
12/30/05	AMENDED NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF CLERK'S RECORD (1)
	TRANSCRIPT COMPLETED AND FILED WITH CLERK LT
1/07/06	APPELLANT'S EMERGENCY VERIFIED MOTION TO RULE ON THE DUE
	DATES OF NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF CLERK'S RECORD AND APPELLANT'S
	BRIEF FILING; EMERGENCY VERIFIED MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE
	APPELLANT'S BRIEF; AND EMERGENCY VERIFIED MOTION TO COMPEL
	TRIAL COURT CLERK TO COMPLETE THE CLERK'S RECORD AND TRANSCRIPT
	AND ISSUE, FILE AND SERVE IT'S NOTICE OF COMPLETION (6)
	PROOF OF SERVICE (2) MAIL/NSS LT
1/28/06	****RECEIVED: APPELLANT'S BRIEF AND APPENDIX. WAITING FOR
	RULING FROM THE COURT ON THE ABOVE MOTION. ON MY DESK. **** LT
2/06/06	ISSUED THE ENCLOSED ORDER:
2/06/06	HAVING REVIEWED THE MATTER, THE COURT FINDS AND ORDERS AS
	FOLLOWS:
	1. BECAUSE THE AMENDED NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF CLERK'S RECORD
	WAS FILED ON DECEMBER 30, 2005, MAKING SANJARI'S BRIEF DUE
	MONDAY JANUARY 30, 2006, AND BECAUSE SANJARI TENDERED HIS BRIEF
	ON JANUARY 28, 2006, SANJARI'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE
	BRIEF IS DENIED AS UNNECESSARILY FILED.
	2. THE CLERK OF THE COURT IS DIRECTED TO SHOW SANJARI'S BRIEF
	FILED AS OF JANUARY 28, 2006.
	3. IF THE TRIAL COURT HAS ALREADY RULED ON THE MOTION TO
	CORRECT RECORD, THE COURT DIRECTS THE TRIAL COURT TO FILE A COPY
	OF ITS RULING, AND ANY CORRECTIONS IT MAY HAVE MADE TO THE CCS
	OR TRANSCRIPT, WITH THE CLERK OF THIS COURT AND SERVE COPIES OF
	THE SAME ON THE PARTIES WITHIN FIFTEEN (15) DAYS OF THE DATE OF
	THIS ORDER.
	4. HOWEVER, IF THE TRIAL COURT HAS NOT RULED ON SANJARI'S
	MOTION TO CORRECT RECORD, IT SHALL DO SO WITHIN FIFTEEN (15)

	DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS ORDER, MAKING WHATEVER CHANGES ARE
	NECESSARY, IF ANY, TO THE CCS AND THE TRANSCRIPT. THE TRIAL
	COURT SHALL CONTEMPORANEOUSLY FILE ITS ORDER WITH THE CLERK OF
	THIS COURT AND SERVE COPIES OF THE ORDER ON THE PARTIES.
	5. IF THE TRIAL COURT GRANTS THE MOTION TO CORRECT RECORD,
	EVEN IN PART, THE ELKHART COUNTY CLERK SHALL IMMEDIATELY FILE
	ANOTHER AMENDED NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF CLERK'S RECORD.
	6. THE APPELLEE SHALL FILE HER BRIEF NO LATER THAN FORTY-FIVE
	(45) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ORDER.
	7. DEB FRIED IS DIRECTED TO FILE A COPY OF THIS ORDER IN
	TRIAL CAUSE NUMBER 20D05-0010-DR-640.
	JAMES S. KIRSCH, CHIEF JUDGE KM
2/06/06	***** ABOVE ENTRY MAILED *****
1/28/06	APPELLANT'S BRIEF (9) AND ONE (1) VOLUME APPENDIX FILED
	WORD COUNT CERTIFICATE (2) PROOF OF SERVICE (2) MAIL
	PRO SE LT
2/14/06	ORDER ON MOTION TO CORRECT, MODIFY CLERK'S RECORD AND
	TRANSCRIPTS FILED BY THE TRIAL COURT PURSUANT TO THE ORDER
	DATED 2/06/06. SENT TO COURT FOR REVIEW. LT
2/18/06	APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND PRE-APPEAL
	CONFERENCE (6) PROOF OF SERVICE (2) MAIL LT
2/23/06	****NOTE: APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND PRE-APPEAL
	CONFERENCE SENT TO COURT.**** LT
2/28/06	ISSUED THE ENCLOSED ORDER:
2/28/06	HAVING REVIEWED THE MATTER, THE COURT FINDS AND ORDERS AS
	FOLLOWS:
	1. THE APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR ORAL HEARING IS HELD IN
	ABEYANCE TO BE RULED UPON BY THE PANEL OF JUDGES TO WHICH THIS
	CASE BECOMES ASSIGNED.
	2. THE MOTION FOR PRE-APPEAL CONFERENCE IS DENIED.
	3. PERSONNEL IN THE OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THIS COURT
	ARE DIRECTED TO MARK THEIR DOCKET IN THIS CASE TO BRING TO THE
	ATTENTION OF THE RECEIVING JUDGES THE MOTION FOR ORAL HEARING.
	JAMES S. KIRSCH, CHIEF JUDGE MS
2/28/06	***** ABOVE ENTRY MAILED *****
3/03/06	****RECEIVED: COPY OF LETTER TO TRIAL COURT FROM SPECIAL
	JUDGE REX REED WITH ATTACHED CORRESPONDENCE FROM APPELLANT.
	PLACED ONE COPY IN FILE AND SENT ONE COPY TO COURT FOR
	REVIEW.**** LT
3/08/06	NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF CLERK'S RECORD (1)
	TRANSCRIPT COMPLETED AND FILED WITH CLERK (SUPPLEMENTAL) LT
3/31/06	****NOTE: APPELLANT'S MOTION TO CORRECT, MODIFY CLERK'S RECORDS

AND TRANSCRIPTS RECEIVED ON 01/04/06 PLACED IN CASE FILE.**** LT

3/28/06 **RECEIVED: NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS OF PRO SE APPELLANT (1)
CHANGE IS REFLECTED ON THE DOCKET LB

4/04/06 TRIAL COURT CLERK NOTIFIED TO TRANSMIT TRANSCRIPT. RS

4/10/06 RECEIVED: SIX (6) VOLUME TRANSCRIPT AND ONE (1) VOLUME EXHIBIT
FROM TRIAL COURT CLERK. LB

4/10/06 APPELLANT'S PRO SE MOTION FOR: DISPOSITION OF THE INSTANT CASE
AND GRANTING THE REVERSAL OF THE TRIAL COURT ORDER; AND ALL
OTHER RELIEF DUE TO APPELLANT (6) PROOF OF SERVICE (2) MAIL LB

2/21/06 MOTION FOR ORAL HEARING AND PRE-APPEAL CONFERENCE (5) MAIL RS

4/13/06 FULLY BRIEFED INFORMATION:
FILED 11/03/05
F.B. 04/13/06
O.A. 02/18/06, 02/21/06 RS

4/13/06 TRANSMITTED APPEALS *****04/13/06***** RS

4/18/06 ISSUED THE ENCLOSED ORDER:

4/18/06 HAVING REVIEWED THE MATTER, THE COURT FINDS AND ORDERS AS
FOLLOWS:

1. EVEN THOUGH SANJARI'S MOTION EXCEEDS THE PAGE LIMITATIONS
PRESCRIBED BY APPELLATE RULE 34(G)(2) AND DOES NOT INCLUDE A
WORD COUNT CERTIFICATE REQUIRED BY THE SAME RULE, THE COURT
DIRECTS THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO FILE SANJARI'S EMERGENCY
MOTION VACATING ORDERS, DISQUALIFYING JUDGE, AND CORRECTION OF
RECORD AS OF THE DATE IT WAS TENDERED, MARCH 21, 2006.
2. THE EMERGENCY MOTION VACATING ORDERS, DISQUALIFYING JUDGE,
AND CORRECTION OF RECORD IS DENIED. THE COURT MORE FULLY
EXPLAINS ITS RULING BELOW.
3. SANJARI'S REQUEST THAT THE COURT VACATE ORDERS ISSUED BY
JUDGE REED AFTER SEPTEMBER 8, 2005, IS DENIED. IT APPEARS THE
TRIAL COURT HAD JURISDICTION TO ISSUE SOME OF THESE ORDERS
BECAUSE IT APPEARS SOME WERE ISSUED BEFORE THE COURT ACQUIRED
JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER ON MARCH 8, 2006. FOR THOSE
ORDERS SANJARI CLAIMS THE TRIAL COURT ISSUED AFTER THE COURT
ACQUIRED JURISDICTION, THE COURT DENIES SANJARI'S REQUEST
BECAUSE HE HAS NOT IDENTIFIED THOSE ORDERS, DESCRIBED THEIR
CONTENT AND EFFECT, OR PROVIDED COPIES OF THE ORDERS. FINALLY,
HE FAILS TO SHOW HOW THESE ORDERS INTERMEDDLE WITH THE SUBJECT
MATTER OF THIS APPEAL.
4. SANJARI'S REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE OF THE CONTENTS OF ANY AND
ALL OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS OF JUDGE REED OR HIS AGENTS
"THAT () EVEN REMOTELY CONCERN (SANJARI) AND/OR THE CASES HE IS
INVOLVED IN" IS DENIED. SANJARI'S REQUEST IS TOO VAGUE.

5. BECAUSE SANJARI'S CLAIMS ABOUT JUDGE REED'S ALLEGED DECEPTION AND BIAS BASED ON GENDER AND RACE ARE CONCLUSORY AND COMPLETELY UNSUPPORTED BY FACTS, THE MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE REED IS DENIED. FURTHER, EVEN IF THE COURT WERE TO GRANT SUCH RELIEF, IT WOULD NOT DO SO UNTIL IS COULD FULLY REVIEW THE CASE AFTER THE PARTIES HAD FILED THEIR BRIEFS AND APPENDICES AND THAT MATTER WAS RIPE FOR FINAL DISPOSITION ON THE MERITS.

6. AS TO SANJARI'S REQUEST THAT THE COURT DIRECT THE TRIAL COURT CLERK TO CORRECT THE CLERK'S RECORD, SANJARI HAS FAILED TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FACTS OR SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION, AS NOTED ABOVE. THEREFORE, THE REQUEST IS DENIED.

7. HOWEVER, BECAUSE IT APPEARS SANJARI DID NOT RECEIVE A COPY OF THE TRIAL COURT'S RULING ON THE MOTION TO CORRECT CLERK'S RECORD, THE COURT DIRECTS THE HONORABLE REX L. REED, SPECIAL JUDGE FOR THE ELKHART SUPERIOR COURT #5, TO SEND BOTH PARTIES COPIES OF ITS FEBRUARY 14, 2006 RULING ON SANJARI'S MOTION TO CORRECT CLERK'S RECORD.

JAMES S. KIRSCH, CHIEF JUDGE MS

4/18/06 ***** ABOVE ENTRY MAILED *****